BEST AVAILABLE COPY



PATENT COOPERATION TREATY PCT

REC'D 11 OCT 2005	REC'D	1	1	OCT	2005
-------------------	-------	---	---	-----	------

WIPO PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	ron numerien	Co. Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary				
NKT/0665-03	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416).				
International Application No.	International Filing Date (day/month/year)	e Priority Date (day/month/year)				
PCT/SG2003/000251 23 October 2003		23 October 2003				
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC						
Int. Cl. ⁷ E04H 3/00, E04B 1/348						
Applicant HEALTH SCIENCES AUTHORITY et al						
1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.						
2. This REPORT consists of a total of 3						
This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).						
These annexes consist of a total	of sheet(s).					
3. This report contains indications relatin	ng to the following items:					
I X Basis of the report						
II Priority						
III Non-establishment of o	pinion with regard to nove	elty, inventive step and industrial applicability				
IV Lack of unity of inventi	ion					
Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
VI Certain documents cited	d					
VII Certain defects in the in	ects in the international application					
VIII Certain observations on	VIII Certain observations on the international application					
Date of submission of the demand Date of completion of the report						
		29 September 2005				
		Authorized Officer				
AUSTRALIAN PATENT OFFICE PO BOX 200, WODEN ACT 2606, AUSTRALIA						
E-mail address: pct@ipaustralia.gov.au Facsimile No. (02) 6285 3929		VINCE BAGUSAUSKAS				
Telephone No. (02) 6283 2110						

BEST AVAILABLE COPY



→ INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/SG2003/000251

I.	Basis of the repor	rt '					
1.	With regard to the elements of the international application:*						
	X the international	the international application as originally filed.					
	the description,	pages , as originally filed,					
	•	pages, filed with the demand,					
		pages, received on with the letter of					
	the claims,	pages, as originally filed,					
		pages, as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19,					
		pages, filed with the demand,					
		pages, received on with the letter of					
	the drawings,	pages , as originally filed,					
		pages, filed with the demand,					
		pages, received on with the letter of					
	the sequence lis	ting part of the description:					
	•	pages, as originally filed					
	•	pages, filed with the demand					
		pages, received on with the letter of					
2.	which the internationa	guage, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. available or furnished to this Authority in the following language which is:					
		a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).					
	the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(3)). The language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).						
-							
	the language of and/or 55.3).	the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2					
3.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:						
	contained in the	e international application in written form.					
	filed together w	vith the international application in computer readable form.					
	<u></u>	equently to this Authority in written form.					
		furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.					
	international ap	The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.					
	The statement to been furnished	that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has					
4.	The amendmen	its have resulted in the cancellation of:					
	the des	scription, pages					
	the cla	aims, Nos.					
	the dra	awings, sheets/fig.					
5.		been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**					
*	Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17).						
**	· •	et containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report					

BES! AVAILABLE CO. .

• INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/SG2003/000251

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

			•
1.	Statement		
	Novelty (N)	Claims 1-39	YES
		Claims	NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims 1-39	YES
		Claims	NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-39	YES
	•	Claims	NO

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)

The relevant citations from the ISR are:

D1) US 4850268

D2) FR 2819536

D3) US 4743059

NOVELTY (N)

None of the citations explicitly discloses the use of a mobile containerised autopsy facility. Therefore the invention as defined is novel.

INVENTIVE STEP (IS)

A person skilled in the art would not consider a "medical laboratory" to operate as an "autopsy facility" as highlighted in the judgement "Autopsy/Post Services, Inc v City of Los Angeles" In the Court of Appeal of the sate of California.

Therefore it would not be obvious to the person skilled in the art that the high containment mobile laboratories of D1) and D2) could be used as an autopsy room in the light of D3) that discloses a mobile containerised operating theatre. Nor would it be obvious that the theatre of D3) could also be used as an autopsy room.

Therefore the invention as defined is inventive over the prior art.